# THE JOURNAL OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTION

**Editor's Note: Chevron** Victoria Prussen Spears

The Supreme Court Decides to Revisit Chevron: Here's What It Could Mean for Future Deference to U.S. Government Agency Interpretations
Ryan J. Strasser and Timothy L. McHugh

Before Acquiring a U.S. Company, Do Not Forget to Consider This Important Regulatory Hurdle

Eric McClafferty, Matthew C. Luzadder, Alla M. Taher, and Jeffrey Hunter

U.S. Department of Homeland Security Launches Fraud Investigations into Dozens of Companies for H-1B Lottery Abuse

Morgan Bailey, Maximillian L. Del Rey, and Kelly B. Kramer

**Proposed Environmental Protection Agency Carbon Pollution Standards Would Impact Energy Sector** 

Matt Ahrens, Allison Sloto, Allan T. Marks, and Thomas D. Goslin

Get Ready to Attest: Three U.S. Departments Release "Further Guidance" on Gag Clause Prohibitions

Laura L. Ferguson and Aaron M. Weiss

Food and Drug Administration Publishes Draft Recommendations on Use of Dietary Guidance Statements

Miriam Guggenheim, Jessica O'Connell, and Deepti Kulkarni

Meme Stock Events: An Analysis of the Securities and Exchange Commission and House Financial Services Committee Reports

Gabriel Benincasa

Latin America Likely to Face Continued Robust U.S. Anticorruption Enforcement Manuel (Manny) A. Abascal, Daniel J. Dominguez, Katherine A. Sawyer, and Lucas Fontes Novaes



# The Journal of Federal Agency Action

Volume 1, No. 5 | September-October 2023

341	Editor's Note: Chevron Victoria Prussen Spears
345	The Supreme Court Decides to Revisit <i>Chevron</i> : Here's What It Could Mean for Future Deference to U.S. Government Agency Interpretations  Ryan J. Strasser and Timothy L. McHugh
357	Before Acquiring a U.S. Company, Do Not Forget to Consider This Important Regulatory Hurdle Eric McClafferty, Matthew C. Luzadder, Alla M. Taher, and Jeffrey Hunter
367	U.S. Department of Homeland Security Launches Fraud Investigations into Dozens of Companies for H-1B Lottery Abuse Morgan Bailey, Maximillian L. Del Rey, and Kelly B. Kramer
375	Proposed Environmental Protection Agency Carbon Pollution Standards Would Impact Energy Sector Matt Ahrens, Allison Sloto, Allan T. Marks, and Thomas D. Goslin
381	Get Ready to Attest: Three U.S. Departments Release "Further Guidance" on Gag Clause Prohibitions Laura L. Ferguson and Aaron M. Weiss
385	Food and Drug Administration Publishes Draft Recommendations on Use of Dietary Guidance Statements Miriam Guggenheim, Jessica O'Connell, and Deepti Kulkarni
389	Meme Stock Events: An Analysis of the Securities and Exchange Commission and House Financial Services Committee Reports Gabriel Benincasa

Latin America Likely to Face Continued Robust U.S. Anticorruption

Manuel (Manny) A. Abascal, Daniel J. Dominguez, Katherine A. Sawyer, and

403

**Enforcement** 

Lucas Fontes Novaes

### **EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

### Steven A. Meyerowitz

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

### **EDITOR**

### **Victoria Prussen Spears**

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

### **BOARD OF EDITORS**

### Lynn E. Calkins

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP Washington, D.C.

### Helaine I. Fingold

Member, Epstein Becker & Green, P.C. Baltimore

### Nancy A. Fischer

Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP Washington, D.C.

### Bethany J. Hills

Partner, DLA Piper LLP (US) New York

### Phil Lookadoo

Partner, Haynes and Boone, LLP Washington, D.C.

### Michelle A. Mantine

Partner, Reed Smith LLP Pittsburgh

### Ryan J. Strasser

Partner, Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP Richmond & Washington, D.C.

THE JOURNAL OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTION (ISSN 2834-8796 (print) / ISSN 2834-8818 (online)) at \$495.00 annually is published six times per year by Full Court Press, a Fastcase, Inc., imprint. Copyright 2023 Fastcase, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner.

For customer support, please contact Fastcase, Inc., 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005, 202.999.4777 (phone), or email customer service at support@fastcase.com.

**Publishing Staff** 

Publisher: Morgan Morrissette Wright Production Editor: Sharon D. Ray

Cover Art Design: Morgan Morrissette Wright and Sharon D. Ray

This journal's cover includes a photo of Washington D.C.'s Metro Center underground station. The Metro's distinctive coffered and vaulted ceilings were designed by Harry Weese in 1969. They are one of the United States' most iconic examples of the brutalist design style often associated with federal administrative buildings. The photographer is by XH\_S on Unsplash, used with permission.

Cite this publication as:

The Journal of Federal Agency Action (Fastcase)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Copyright © 2023 Full Court Press, an imprint of Fastcase, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

A Full Court Press, Fastcase, Inc., Publication

**Editorial Office** 

729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005 https://www.fastcase.com/

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE JOURNAL OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTION, 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005.

### **Articles and Submissions**

Direct editorial inquiries and send material for publication to:

Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541.

Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, corporate compliance officers, government agencies and their counsel, senior business executives, and anyone interested in federal agency actions.

This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

### QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact:

Morgan Morrissette Wright, Publisher, Full Court Press at mwright@fastcase.com or at 202.999.4878

For questions or Sales and Customer Service:

Customer Service Available 8 a.m.–8 p.m. Eastern Time 866.773.2782 (phone) support@fastcase.com (email)

Sales 202.999.4777 (phone) sales@fastcase.com (email)

ISSN 2834-8796 (print) ISSN 2834-8818 (online)

## Proposed Environmental Protection Agency Carbon Pollution Standards Would Impact Energy Sector

Matt Ahrens, Allison Sloto, Allan T. Marks, and Thomas D. Goslin\*

In this article, the authors examine the Environmental Protection Agency's proposed greenhouse gas emission standards for new and existing fossil fuel-burning power plants.

The Biden administration has announced its long-anticipated proposed greenhouse gas (GHG) emission standards¹ for new and existing fossil fuel-burning power plants (the Proposed Rule). In its press release,² the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) stated that the Proposed Rule would reduce total carbon dioxide emissions by 617 million metric tons by 2042, as well as cutting tens of thousands of tons of other air pollutants, including particulate matter, sulfur dioxide, and nitrogen oxide. The EPA noted that the power sector in 2020 constituted the largest stationary source of GHG emissions in the United States, emitting 25% of the overall domestic emissions. The EPA estimates that the Proposed Rule would result in an additional 42 gigawatts of coal plant retirements, or nearly a quarter of existing coal-fired plants, by 2040. The EPA projects that within that same time frame, demand for natural gas from the power sector would fall by 37%.

The Proposed Rule would impose new source performance standards (NSPS) for GHG emissions from new fossil fuel-fired (primarily new natural gas-fired units) stationary combustion turbine electric-generating units (EGUs) as well as emission guidelines for (1) large, frequently used existing stationary combustion engines (primarily natural gas-fired units, defined as those larger than 300 megawatts with a capacity factor of greater than 50 percent), and (2) existing steam-generating EGUs (primarily existing coal units). The most restrictive EPA standards focus on new gas-fired EGUs and on existing fossil fuel-fired EGUs that are large or more frequently used. Less stringent regulations apply to existing fossil

fuel-fired EGUs that are smaller or that operate only occasionally (peaking units). Because no new coal plants have been built in the United States in over a decade and almost all the still-operational coal plants are facing retirement within the next few decades, the EPA decided to effectively grandfather all existing coal plants by imposing minimal requirements on those closing by 2040 and no new requirements (save the requirement to not increase their emissions rates) for those closing by 2032 or 2035.

It is clear that the EPA took care in crafting the Proposed Rule to fit within confines imposed by West Virginia v. EPA, overturing previous attempts to regulate GHG emissions from the power sector by the Obama administration. Further, in developing the Proposed Rule, the EPA conducted an environmental justice analysis consistent with guidance from the Council on Environmental Quality to engage with the overburdened communities disproportionately affected by fossil fuel-fired power plants and ensure that the advancement of carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technologies are done in a responsible manner that incorporates the input of communities and reflects the best available science. Whereas the Obama administration took a novel approach by seeking to require states to meet GHG emissions targets and providing them with considerable flexibility to do so (which the Supreme Court ultimately found to exceed the regulatory mandate granted by Congress under the Clean Air Act), the Biden administration has hewed more closely to traditional Clean Air Act requirements by requiring each emission source to meet specified standards.

As required by the Clean Air Act, Section 111, the Proposed Rule requires sources to implement the best system of emission reduction (BESR) that has been demonstrated to improve the GHG emissions performance of the sources (accounting for costs, energy requirements, and other factors, and considering a range of technologies). Although the Proposed Rule sets caps on pollution rates rather than mandating the use of specific equipment to capture carbon emissions, it is clear that the Proposed Rule heavily relies on the EPA's conclusion that the BESR for many power plants is carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) technology that is not yet widely used. The Biden administration expressed confidence that this technology will become commercially available as a result of the new government incentives included in the Inflation Reduction Act that provide significant funding for emerging GHG-reduction technologies.

The EPA is also soliciting public comment on whether it should apply the Proposed Rule's requirements more broadly, including to natural gas-fired units as small as 100 megawatts and/or those that operate only 40% of the time.

### **NSPS for New EGUs (Natural Gas)**

The EPA is proposing to create three new subcategories based on the function that the combustion turbine serves:

- 1. Low load peaking units that consists of combustion turbines with a capacity factor of less than 20%;
- 2. Intermediate load that consists of combustion turbines with a capacity factor ranging between 20% and a source-specific upper bound that is based on the design efficiency of the combustion turbine; and
- 3. A base load consisting of combustion turbines that operate above the upper-bound threshold for intermediate load turbines.

For each subcategory, the EPA is proposing a distinct BESR and performance standards.

# **Emission Guidelines for Large and Frequently Used Existing Combustion EGUs (Natural Gas)**

The EPA is proposing that the BESR for these units is based on either a 90% capture of carbon dioxide emissions using CCS by 2035, or co-firing of 30% by volume of low-GHG hydrogen beginning in 2032 and co-firing 96% by volume low-GHG hydrogen beginning in 2038.

# Emission Guidelines For Existing Steam-Generating EGUs (Coal)

The EPA has determined that CCS satisfies the BESR criteria for existing steam-generating EGUs because it is adequately demonstrated, achieves significant GHG reductions, and is cost-effective. The cost-effectiveness depends on how long the units will remain

operational, and therefore the EPA is proposing subcategories based on operating horizon:

- 1. For units that will permanently cease operations prior to January 1, 2040, and are not in other subcategories, the BESR will be co-firing 40% natural gas on a heat input basis (with a 16% reduction in emission rate);
- 2. For units that will permanently cease operations prior to January 1, 2035, and commit to operating with an annual capacity factor limit of 20%, the BESR is routine methods of operations and maintenance (with no increases in emission rate); and
- 3. For units that will permanently cease operations prior to January 1, 2032, the BESR is routine methods of operation and maintenance (with no increases in emission rate).

# Standards for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Coal EGUs

The EPA noted that the 2015 standards for new coal units, based on CCS, and for reconstructed coal units, based on efficiency, remain in place. The EPA chose not to review the new and reconstructed standards because no new coal units have been constructed in the United States in over a decade, and the EPA does not anticipate any further new units. The EPA is proposing to revise the standards for modified coal units to be based on the BESR or CCS with 90% capture, to ensure consistency for any existing units currently subject to the emissions guidelines that may undergo modification and become subject to the NSPS for new EGUs.

# Does the Proposed Rule Go Too Far, Or Not Far Enough?

Arguments already abound that the Proposed Rule goes either too far, or not far enough. The Proposed Rule appears critical to the United States meeting its climate goals under the Paris Agreement to at least halve GHG emissions by 2030. Environmental activists are concerned that the Proposed Rule exempts too many natural gas EGUs and grandfathers coal units that will shut down before

2032 and would like to see the Proposed Rule expand to capture more EGUs. On the other hand, even before the Proposed Rule was released, Senator Joe Manchin, the Chair of the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee, came out in opposition of the forthcoming rule and stated that he would oppose Biden's current EPA nominees.<sup>3</sup> Certainly, the finalized version of the Proposed Rule will become the subject of litigation, likely by many of the same Republican attorneys general who challenged the Obama-era Clean Power Plan.

The EPA recently extended the comment period on the Proposed Rule to August 8, 2023. Affected lenders, owners, and operators of fossil fuel-fired EGUs, as well as any party investing in CCS, low-carbon hydrogen, or other green technologies, should track the progression of the Proposed Rule closely and consider the potential effects of new carbon emissions regulations on their facilities' operations.

### **Notes**

- \* The authors, attorneys with Milbank LLP, may be contacted at mahrens@milbank.com, asloto@milbank.com, atmarks@milbank.com, and tgoslin@milbank.com, respectively.
- 1. https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2023-05/FRL-8536-02-OAR%20111EGU%20NPRM%2020230504\_Admin.pdf.
- 2. https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-proposes-new-carbon-pollu tion-standards-fossil-fuel-fired-power-plants-tackle.
- 3. https://www.manchin.senate.gov/newsroom/press-releases/manchinto-oppose-every-epa-nominee.