

**Milbank**

Alternative Investments Practice Group

# Client Alert

BEIJING FRANKFURT HONG KONG LONDON LOS ANGELES MUNICH NEW YORK SÃO PAULO SINGAPORE TOKYO WASHINGTON, DC

## FROM COLLATERAL DAMAGE TO CAUTIOUS OPTIMISM: THE U.S. CLO MARKET FORGES AHEAD IN 2012

The U.S. market for collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) has suffered in recent years, the victim of guilt by association with its distant “acronymed” cousins—especially SIVs and CDOs backed by other securities collateralized by subprime home mortgages and other poorly underwritten consumer debt. Recently, however, that perception has begun to reverse. Strong performance by CLOs first issued in the 2005-2007 era evidenced by equity returns in the 20%-plus range, tighter spreads on senior liabilities, primary loan issuances with wider spreads, and slowly emerging clarity on the regulatory front have contributed over the past two years to a slow but steady resurgence of CLO origination activity and continued interest in CLO manager acquisition opportunities. New issuance in 2011 surpassed the \$12 billion mark, and is projected by some analysts to reach \$20 billion in 2012. In addition, consolidation in the CLO manager sector continues to augment substantially the assets under management of several advisers, and to provide opportunities for new synergies to develop among fixed income portfolio managers.

Here are 10 issues at the forefront of the minds of advisers as they work to structure new CLOs in the face of evolving market and regulatory conditions:

1. **SEC Registered Adviser Status.** Most CLO managers previously relied on the “private adviser exemption” from registration with the SEC as an adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (Advisers Act). This exemption was repealed by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank). The narrow remaining exemptions from registration adopted in the SEC’s final rules on the subject are not available to most CLO managers. As a

Alternative Investments Practice Group  
March 12, 2012

For further information  
about this Client Alert,  
please contact:

Deborah Festa  
Partner  
dfesta@milbank.com  
+1 213-892-4400

You may also contact any member of Milbank’s Alternative Investments Practice Group. Contact information can be found at the end of this Client Alert. In addition, if you would like copies of our other Client Alerts, please visit our website at [www.milbank.com](http://www.milbank.com) and choose “Client Alerts” under “News.”

This Client Alert is a source of general information for clients and friends of Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP. Its content should not be construed as legal advice, and readers should not act upon the information in this Client Alert without consulting counsel.

© 2012 Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP. All rights reserved. Attorney Advertising. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

[www.milbank.com](http://www.milbank.com)

result, existing CLO managers that are not registered must do so by March 30, 2012, and portfolio management groups considering managing CLOs in the future will need to register. Registration applications to the SEC, which require careful attention to prepare, are generally processed within 45 days of receipt.

2. **Effective Compliance Policies and Procedures.** As SEC-registered advisers, CLO managers must adopt, implement, and review annually for adequacy and effectiveness written policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent violations of the Advisers Act and administered by a chief compliance officer.<sup>1</sup> The SEC staff has expressed the view that “off the shelf” procedures not specifically tailored to an adviser’s business or that fail to address risks presented by current market conditions or to take into account relevant contractual obligations are inadequate. Effective valuation procedures, for example, should at a minimum (i) take into account contractual obligations under specific CLO indentures and variances in those requirements across an adviser’s platform, (ii) establish a valuation committee whose compensation is not directly based on the performance of the CLOs under management, (iii) appoint a pricing manager to obtain on a regular basis market values for the CLO assets, (iv) prescribe a sound procedure for valuing assets for which a pricing service bid price value and sufficient independent broker-dealer quotes are unavailable, and (v) provide for valuation back-testing.
3. **New Withholding Tax Obligations.** As described in our [Client Alert](#) on the subject, the recently enacted Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (FATCA) will impose a 30% withholding tax with respect to a CLO issuer’s entire U.S. loan portfolio unless the CLO enters into an agreement with the IRS (a “FATCA Agreement”) to (1) obtain information from debt and equity holders necessary to determine if the holder constitutes a “U.S. account”; (2) comply with verification and due diligence procedures required to identify U.S. accounts; (3) report certain information with respect to U.S. accounts on an annual basis to the IRS and comply with requests by the IRS for additional information with respect to any U.S. account; (4) attempt to obtain a waiver in any case where foreign law would prevent the reporting of information required with respect to any U.S. account, and “close” the account if the waiver cannot be obtained; and (5) deduct and withhold 30 percent of any “passthru payment” made to a “recalcitrant holder” that does not provide the required information and certain other categories of investors. Although the date on which withholding will begin has been extended to permit affected institutions to make appropriate changes to their systems and certain “grandfathering” rules apply, a collateral manager structuring any new CLO will need to revise its standard documentation, fairly extensively, to require investors to provide the necessary information, include appropriate remedies for non-compliance, revise disclosures and risk factors in offering materials appropriately to disclose the impact of the new regime, and develop a compliance plan with the indenture trustee to manage the information collection and information reporting to the IRS.
4. **Conflicts Review.** An extended comment period has expired for rules proposed by the SEC that would implement Section 621 of Dodd-Frank. The proposed rules would prohibit collateral managers of CLOs, among others, from engaging in transactions that would involve or result in certain material conflicts of interest with a CLO’s investors for a period extending from one year following the closing of the CLO. While the contours of the proposed rules remain subject to further definition, the proposals suggest that a

---

<sup>1</sup> Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-7.

transaction would involve or result in a “material conflict” for this purpose if either (i) the collateral manager would benefit directly or indirectly from the actual, anticipated, or potential (1) adverse performance of the asset pool supporting or referenced by the CLO, (2) loss of principal, monetary default or early amortization event on the CLO, or (3) decline in the market value of the relevant CLO (each, a “short transaction”); or (ii) the collateral manager would benefit directly or indirectly from fees or other forms of remuneration, or the promise of future business, fees, or other forms of remuneration, as a result of allowing a third party, directly or indirectly, to structure the CLO or select assets underlying the CLO in a way that facilitates or creates an opportunity for that third party to benefit from a short transaction as described above. The proposals suggest a deeper focus than ever for CLO sponsors and collateral managers on conflicts analysis and related disclosure, to ensure compliance with existing SEC regulations as well as the expected future rules. Such parties and their counsel also should consider the utility of conflicts management procedures, which may include important roles for advisory committees and independent directors.

5. **Risk Retention - The U.S. View.** The SEC and several other federal regulators have proposed rules<sup>2</sup> that would require the “sponsor” of covered securitization vehicles, including a CLO, to retain for the life of the CLO at least 5% of the credit risk of any asset that the sponsor transfers, sells, or conveys to a third party through the issuance of the CLO. Options for obtaining the requisite credit risk exposure, among others, include (i) retention of at least 5% of each issued class of CLO notes, (ii) retention of an amount of notes of the most subordinated tranche equal to 5% of the par value of all of the CLO’s issued notes, and (iii) an L-shaped option combining (i) and (ii) in equal dollar amounts. The proposed rules explicitly state that CLO managers generally are “sponsors;”<sup>3</sup> however, that conclusion and the related contextual assumptions in the proposed rules have been challenged in comment letters. The extended comment period for these controversial proposals expired in August 2011, leaving the CLO industry in keen anticipation of further clarity on the scope and contours of the rules, and the viability of certain exemptions for CLOs proposed by leading industry groups and market participants. Unless an exemption is granted, the universe of U.S. CLO sponsors can be expected to be significantly reduced in number to those with the financial ability to retain the requisite credit risk in each new CLO. The risk retention requirement will become effective for CLOs two years after final rules are adopted.
6. **Risk Retention - The EU View.** Article 122a of the EU Capital Requirements Directive requires European credit institutions that invest in securitization vehicles, including U.S. CLOs, to adhere to new requirements in order to avoid very high capital charges. Similar legislation is being enacted in relation to European insurers<sup>4</sup> and fund managers<sup>5</sup>. Affected institutions must ensure that the original lender, originator, or sponsor of any CLO in which it proposes to invest will retain a material net economic interest of at least 5% in the securitized assets. The new legislation severely restricts the scope for European institutional investors to purchase CLO securities and, at a practical level, has meant the majority of transactions are either (a) not marketed in Europe, or (b) constitute balance sheet CLOs in relation to which the originator retains the necessary 5% exposure. However, where economic

---

<sup>2</sup> These rules implement Section 15G of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which was added by Dodd-Frank Section 941(b).

<sup>3</sup> *Supra*, footnote 42.

<sup>4</sup> EU Directive 2009/138/EC (Solvency II), Article 135.

<sup>5</sup> EU Directive 2011/61/EU on Alternative Investment Fund Managers, Article 17.

fundamentals permit, there remain some alternative structuring options for new arbitrage issuance involving “originator SPVs” or an independent “equity retention holder.” Collateral managers that have the capacity and willingness to deploy their own<sup>6</sup> balance sheet to meet the 5% retention requirement will also enjoy a competitive advantage. U.S. CLOs generally are not Article 122a compliant and include a risk factor to that effect. Moreover, Article 122a has posed a particular problem for European banks active in the U.S. CLO market, as their U.S. branches and subsidiaries often fall under its restrictions, which may prevent them from investing in CLO securities and providing other services (e.g., hedging) previously provided in connection with their arrangement of CLOs.

7. **The Volcker Rule.** Our earlier [Client Alert](#) described the likely impact on the CLO market of a leaked version of federal agencies’ proposed rules for implementing Section 619 of Dodd-Frank, popularly known as the “Volcker Rule,” which would prohibit insured depository institutions, bank holding companies, and their subsidiaries or affiliates from engaging in many proprietary trading activities and from investing in and sponsoring certain private funds and other investment vehicles. The proposed rules were subsequently formally released by the agencies in substantially the same form as earlier leaked. As we indicated in our Client Alert, while certain technical details remain to be clarified, several features of the proposed rules suggest that the final rules will not impede the issuance of basic CLOs. Highly controversial, the proposed rules continue to be the subject of intense debate in Congress and in financial markets worldwide. Although regulators extended the rule comment period to February 13, 2012, the Volcker Rule becomes effective by statute on July 21, 2012. Notwithstanding the looming deadline, Federal Reserve Chairman Ben Bernanke has said the rule will not be enforced as of its effective date, relying on the two-year period under the Volcker Rule that runs from July 21 to bring banks’ activities and investments into line with the rule. The Federal Reserve also has discretion to allow individual banks up to three one-year extensions of that period.
8. **“Amend-to-extends.”** As issuers of debt obligations included in CLO portfolios proceed to extend the maturity dates of such obligations through a variety of mechanisms and techniques, collateral managers are learning to live with increased pressure on weighted average life tests and to navigate complex indenture requirements to accommodate the extensions. Collateral managers are increasingly consulting their legal and tax advisors in the context of fact specific scenarios to ascertain their rights and obligations in the context of these transactions, including whether compliance with standard “purchase” requirements, such as meeting portfolio profile test requirements, is necessary, or not. These kinds of amendments may raise tax concerns if they are undertaken in a factual context that could be construed as, in substance, an origination of new loans.
9. **“Loan work-outs.”** Restructuring the terms of a loan held in a CLO’s portfolio can cause the CLO to acquire in an exchange assets that could cause the CLO to be engaging in a U.S. trade or business, which could have an adverse impact on the tax status of the CLO. Problematic assets include interests in a company that owns real property or interests in a limited liability company or other “pass through entity” that is a U.S. operating company. Careful analysis of existing transaction documentation and evolving rating agency guidance is required for the creation of “blocker” subsidiaries to address these problems.

---

<sup>6</sup> As distinct from the assets of their managed funds.

10. **New disclosure requirements.** Rule 17g-5 under the Securities Exchange Act requires, among other things, that a credit rating agency registered with the SEC and being paid by a related CLO arranger to rate a CLO manage conflicts by maintaining a password-protected website for the CLO. The rating agency must provide free, unlimited access to the website to other registered rating agencies, identifying the information currently used to determine or monitor the credit ratings, to allow such other agencies to rate and monitor the CLO on an unsolicited basis. In practice, a negotiation ensues among the issuer, the collateral manager, the placement agent, the trustee, and the accountants to allocate responsibility for posting information to the website, both pre-closing and post-closing, and to appropriately assign risk among such parties. CLO arrangers also should consider disclosure around unsolicited ratings.

Milbank has been at the forefront of the CLO market since its inception, developing many of the legal structures that have become the market standard. Our attorneys continue to provide detailed counsel in all of these and other areas, tailored to our clients' needs. For more information, please contact any of the authors of this alert or your primary Milbank contact.

Please feel free to discuss any aspect of this Client Alert with your regular Milbank contacts or with any of the members of our Alternative Investments Practice Group, whose names and contact information are provided below.

## Beijing

Units 05-06, 15th Floor, Tower 2,  
China Central Place, 79 Jianguo Road, Chaoyang District  
Beijing 100025, China

Anthony Root

+86-10-5969-2777 aroot@milbank.com

Edward Sun

+86-10-5969-2772 esun@milbank.com

Gary Wigmore

+86-10-5969-2769 gwigmore@milbank.com

## Frankfurt

Taunusanlage 15  
60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Norbert Rieger

+49-89-25559-3620 nrieger@milbank.com

## Hong Kong

3007 Alexandra House, 18 Chater Road  
Central, Hong Kong

Anthony Root

+852-2971-4842 aroot@milbank.com

Gary Wigmore

+852-2971-8815 gwigmore@milbank.com

Joshua Zimmerman

+852-2971-4811 jzimmerman@milbank.com

## London

10 Gresham Street  
London EC2V 7JD, England

Stuart Harray

+44-20-7615-3083 sharray@milbank.com

James Warbey

+44-20-7615-3064 jwarbey@milbank.com

## Los Angeles

601 South Figueroa Street, 30th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Deborah Festa

+1-213-892-4400 dfesta@milbank.com

## Munich

Maximilianstrasse 15 (Maximilianhöfe)  
80539 Munich, Germany

Peter Nussbaum

+49-89-25559-3430 pnussbaum@milbank.com

## New York

One Chase Manhattan Plaza  
New York, NY 10005

Trayton M. Davis

+1-212-530-5349 tdavis@milbank.com

Jay D. Grushkin

+1-212-530-5346 jgrushkin@milbank.com

Elizabeth Besio Hardin

+1-212-530-5037 ehardin@milbank.com

Bruce E. Kayle

+1-212-530-5956 bkayle@milbank.com

Eric K. Moser

+1-212-530-5388 emoser@milbank.com

Albert A. Pisa

+1-212-530-5319 apisa@milbank.com

Blair M. Tyson

+1-212-530-5233 btyson@milbank.com

Andrew Walker

+1-212-530-5624 awalker@milbank.com

## São Paulo

Rua Colombia, 325

Jardim América

São Paulo, SP

01438-000

Andrew Jánoszy

+55-11-3927 7701 ajanoszy@milbank.com

## Singapore

30 Raffles Place, #14-00 Chevron House

Singapore 048622

David Zemans

+65-6428-2555 dzemans@milbank.com

Naomi Ishikawa

+65-6428-2525 nishikawa@milbank.com

## Tokyo

21F Midtown Tower, 9-7-1 Akasaka, Minato-ku  
Tokyo 107-6221 Japan

Mark Plenderleith

+813-5410-2842 mplenderleith@milbank.com

## Washington, DC

International Square Building, 1850 K Street, NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20006

Winthrop N. Brown

+1-202-835-7514 wbrown@milbank.com

Glenn Gerstell

+1-202-835-7585 gerstell@milbank.com